IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4224 OF 2004

Niyaz Ajimulla Shah ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Ms. Madhavi Tavanandi, for the Applicant.

Ms. A.S. Gadkari,A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

7th October 2004

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record. The complainant has given graphic description about the role of the Applicant. That version is supported by the statements of two other eye witnesses, which clearly implicates the Applicant. The weapon has also been recovered from the Applicant. Besides, the vehicle used by the Applicant was a stolen vehicle and the owner of the said vehicle has identified the Applicant. Taking overall view of

the matter, not a case for grant of bail. Hence, dismissed.

. However, the trial Court is directed to expedite the hearing of the case. The case be assigned to Fast Track Court, which, in turn, shall ensure final disposal of the case preferably within six months from the date of assignment. In the event the trial Court forms an opinion that the Applicant is in any way responsible for delay of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)